



University of California Cooperative Extension, Yolo County  
70 Cottonwood Street, Woodland, CA 95695 530-666-8143

<http://ceyolo.ucdavis.edu>

19 Feb 2009  
(vol. 49 -1)



## TOMATO INFO

Irrigation Workshop  
Deficit Irrigation Strategies

### IRRIGATION WORKSHOP (FOR IRRIGATORS AND MANAGERS)

Meeting Notice

#### **Principles of Irrigation Management**

(in Spanish only)

10:30am to noon, Friday, 6 March 2009

UC Farm Advisors office

70 Cottonwood Street, Woodland 95695

Lunch will be provided. A \$7 registration is required.

Call our office at 530 666-8143. Please pre-register.

Meeting is an open, public event.

Irrigation training for workers and irrigation managers is being offered as a Spanish-language only workshop. We will cover very basic principles to help irrigators understand some science behind the 'art' of irrigation. We believe a better-trained workforce will provide better outcomes. The target crop is tomato, but the principles of irrigation universally apply.

Paul Lum, Irrigation Specialist for Solano Irrigation District & the Solano Ag Water Conservation Committee has a short presentation on '*El Riego...Cuando y Cuanto*'.

The major points are to:

1. Gain an idea about crop water requirement
2. Understand the amount of water applied
3. Match the application with the crop requirement
4. Measure soil moisture

We have an informative movie on water movement as a 10-minute session that will help irrigators visualize water movement in soil through different textures and soil layers.

Monterey County Farm Advisor Mike Cahn, specializing in irrigation and soils, will further refine irrigation management for tomato production as well as talk about application for drip irrigation. Mike is conversational in Spanish as well as understands the tomato production system, as he was previously in Sutter County working on tomato irrigation management.

The workshop is sponsored by a number of California tomato processing companies interested in further promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

I hope growers can send some of your irrigation managers or irrigators. We are charging \$7 registration to cover lunch and materials. Please pre-register so we can plan. Telephone our office at 530 666-8143 or email me, [emmiyao@ucdavis.edu](mailto:emmiyao@ucdavis.edu).

<http://maps.google.com/maps?f=q&hl=en&q=70%20Cottonwood%20Street,+Woodland,+CA>

#### From Redding:


take I-5 South to Woodland. Take exit #541 for Highway 16 toward Esparto. Turn right onto Highway 16, which is County Road 98, heading south for 2 miles. Turn left onto Beamer Street (1/2 mile). Turn right onto N. Cottonwood St for 1 block. The UCCE office is on the right-hand side of the street.

From Sacramento:

take I-5 North to Woodland. Take exit #541 for Highway 16 toward Esparto. Turn left over the overpass onto Highway 16, which is County Road 98, heading south for 2 miles. Turn left onto Beamer Street (1/2 mile). Turn right onto N. Cottonwood St for 1 block. The UCCE office is on the right-hand side of the street.

From San Francisco:

take I-80 East towards Sacramento. Go past Dixon and take the Pedrick Road exit. Turn left and go over overpass. Stay on Pedrick Road for ~13 miles. Turn right onto Beamer Street (1/2 mile). Turn right onto N. Cottonwood St for 1 block. The UCCE office is on the right-hand side of the street.



If lost, call our office at 530 666-8143. Ask for directions to Irrigation Workshop, UC Farm Advisor office, 70 Cottonwood Street, Woodland, CA.

**DEFICIT IRRIGATION STRATEGIES FOR PROCESSING TOMATOES**

*By Tim Hartz and Brenna Aegerter, UCCE Veg Crops Specialist and UCCE Farm Advisor San Joaquin Co., respectively. Reprinted from UCCE San Joaquin County newsletter, Field Notes.*

A variety of research projects on tomato irrigation conducted over the past 20 years can provide guidance to processing tomato growers looking for ways to stretch water resources. Here is a brief discussion of some important points:

## 1) What constitutes full irrigation?

The consumptive use of processing tomatoes (the total amount of water transpired by the crop or evaporated from the soil) typically runs between 24-28 inches. Daily water use is driven by environmental factors [accurately represented by reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_o$ ) values available for many Central Valley locations], and the degree of ground cover by crop foliage (heating by sunlight interception is a primary driving force for plant transpiration). Fig. 1 shows the typical pattern of crop evapotranspiration ( $ET_c$ ) for a drip-irrigated processing tomato crop on which no water stress is imposed. The major difference with non-drip irrigated fields is that in the early season (before there is substantial foliage cover) sprinkler or furrow irrigation will increase evaporation from the wetted soil surface. By midseason crop water use can be slightly higher than  $ET_o$ , but as the crop matures water use tends to decline.




Fig. 1. Crop evapotranspiration of a drip-irrigated tomato field as a percentage of reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_o$ ).

## 2) Imposing deficit irrigation

A tomato crop is most sensitive to water stress during fruit set, and attempting to save water by reducing irrigation during fruit set is strongly discouraged. Even moderate levels of soil moisture deficit during fruit set can substantially reduce that set, and induce blossom end rot. However, once fruit set is complete (roughly the time that the earliest fruits are reaching the mature green stage, typically 5-6 weeks preharvest), a substantial level of moisture stress can be imposed with minimal loss of productivity. Fresh fruit yield may decline a few tons per acre, but an increase in soluble solids concentration usually results in little or no decline in brix yield.

The degree of deficit irrigation possible without loss of brix yield depends on a number of factors, primarily soil water holding capacity and the presence or absence of a shallow water table. As is clear from Fig. 1, the average crop water use during the fruit ripening period in a fully watered field is approximately 80-90% of  $ET_o$ . Most fields can tolerate irrigation of only 40-60% of  $ET_o$  during this period with minimal problem; fields with high water holding capacity and good rooting depth may be able to deal with as little as 25% of  $ET_o$  over the final 6 weeks.

The ability to precisely control irrigation during the fruit ripening depends on the irrigation system used. For drip fields, controlling deficit irrigation is easy; simply reduce the hours of run to deliver the desired % of  $ET_o$ . Within the last 10-14 days before scheduled harvest drip irrigation can be terminated in most fields without severe stress. During deficit irrigation root intrusion in buried drip systems can be a problem, so be vigilant. If harvest is delayed, small irrigations can be made to maintain vine health.

With furrow irrigation it is more difficult to precisely control irrigation volume, and consequently the primary tool for late season water management has been manipulating the irrigation cutoff date, thereby saving one or more irrigations. Extensive trials in clay loam soils in Fresno County have shown that cutting off furrow irrigation as much as 40 days preharvest will have minimal effect on brix yield (although, as previously stated, fruit yield may suffer a small decline). Even on these forgiving soils, however, earlier cutoff can lead to substantial yield loss. In fields with soil of lower water holding capacity even 40 days preharvest can be too severe a treatment. Using an early cutoff strategy can be risky, particularly if harvest is substantially delayed.

Irrigating alternate furrows on the final few irrigations is a strategy that can reduce water usage without not risking a very early cutoff.

### **3) Using a groundwater table**

In fields with a water table within 2-3 feet of the surface, deficit irrigation can result in the crop drawing as much as several inches of water from the water table, allowing for a more severe irrigation cutback or earlier cutoff than would otherwise be appropriate for the field. If the water table is non-saline, late-season deficit irrigation poses little risk of serious yield decline. However, if the water table is saline, a much larger yield loss is possible with an aggressive irrigation cutback; also, deficit irrigation at the end of the 2008 season will leave the root zone with high EC, thereby increasing next year's water requirement.

### **4) Use of poor quality irrigation water**

San Joaquin groundwater can be poor quality, making its use problematic for some crops. However, after the crop has been established, tomatoes are quite tolerant of high salinity irrigation water. Research at the UC Westside Center showed that, once flowering had begun, irrigating with drainage water of 8.0 EC did not affect fruit yield. The limited supply of high quality water can be used on other, less tolerant crops. Similarly, tomatoes are more tolerant of boron than most other common Central Valley horticultural crops, with water boron concentration up to several parts per million causing little loss of productivity.

The bottom line is that irrigation reduction strategies for processing tomatoes should focus on water management during the fruit ripening period; any attempt to reduce irrigation earlier in crop development is likely to result in significant reduction in yield and/or fruit quality. Growers can take advantage of the relative salinity and boron tolerance of tomato by substituting or blending lower quality groundwater, saving higher quality water for more sensitive crops.

*Small fruit yield losses can equate to substantial dollars losses. The value of the article is not on how to maximize fruit yield, but on how to wisely utilize a limited water supply. The additional upside with deficit irrigation can be improved fruit sugars.*

Submitted by,

Gene Miyao  
Farm Advisor, Yolo, Solano & Sacramento counties

To simplify information, when trade names of products have been used, no endorsement of named products is intended, nor criticism implied of similar products, which are not mentioned. The University of California prohibits discrimination or harassment of any person on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, pregnancy (including childbirth, and medical conditions related to pregnancy or childbirth), physical or mental disability, medical condition (cancer-related or genetic characteristics), ancestry, marital status, age, sexual orientation, citizenship, or service in the uniformed services (as defined by the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994: service in the uniformed services includes membership, application for membership, performance of service, application for service, or obligation for service in the uniformed services) in any of its programs or activities. University policy also prohibits reprisal or retaliation against any person in any of its programs or activities for making a complaint of discrimination or sexual harassment or for using or participating in the investigation or resolution process of any such complaint. University policy is intended to be consistent with the provisions of applicable State and Federal laws. Inquiries regarding the University's nondiscrimination policies may be directed to the Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Director, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 1111 Franklin Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Oakland, CA 94607, (510) 987-0096.

